

NOETHER'S PROBLEM FOR PERMUTATIONAL WREATH
PRODUCTS

Ivo M. Michailov

(Submitted by Academician S. Dodunekov on February 26, 2012)

Abstract

Let K be a field and G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $K(x(g) : g \in G)$ by K automorphisms defined by $g \cdot x(h) = x(gh)$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $K(G)$ the fixed field $K(x(g) : g \in G)^G$. In Noether's problem then it is asked whether $K(G)$ is rational over K . In this paper we prove two reduction theorems concerning Noether's problem for permutational wreath products.

Key words: Noether's problem, the rationality problem, hyperoctahedral group, wreath product

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 12F12, 13A50, 11R32, 14E08

1. Introduction. Let K be a field and G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $K(x(g) : g \in G)$ by K automorphisms defined by $g \cdot x(h) = x(gh)$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $K(G)$ the fixed field $K(x(g) : g \in G)^G$. *Noether's problem* then asks whether $K(G)$ is rational (= purely transcendental) over K . It is related to the inverse Galois problem, to the existence of generic G -Galois extensions over k , and to the existence of versal G -torsors over k -rational field extensions (see [1,2] and [3], 33.1, p. 86).

This work is partially supported by a project No RD-05-275/15.03.2012 of Shumen University.

The following well-known theorem gives a positive answer to the Noether's problem for abelian groups.

Theorem 1.1 (Fischer ([1], Theorem 6.1)). *Let G be a finite abelian group of exponent e . Assume that (i) either $\text{char } K = 0$ or $\text{char } K > 0$ with $\text{char } K \nmid e$, and (ii) K contains a primitive e -th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over K .*

SWAN's paper [4] also gives a survey of many results related to the Noether's problem for abelian groups. In the same time, just a handful of results about Noether's problem are obtained when the groups are non abelian. Kang and Plans prove the following reduction theorem concerning wreath products.

Theorem 1.2 ([4], Theorem 1.10). *Let K be any field, $H \wr G$ be the wreath product of finite groups H and G . If $K(H)$ is rational (resp. stably rational) over K , so is $K(H \wr G)$ over $K(G)$.*

The purpose of this paper is to extend Theorem 1.2 for the permutational wreath products. First, recall the definitions of the regular and the permutational wreath products.

Definition 1. Let H and G be finite groups. Define $N = \bigoplus_{g \in G} H_g$, where each H_g is a copy of H . If $\sigma \in G$ and $x = (\dots, x_g, \dots) \in N$, define ${}^\sigma x = (\dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}g}, \dots)$. The (regular) wreath product $H \wr G$ is a semi-direct product $N \rtimes G$.

Definition 2. Let H and G be finite groups with G a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n . Define $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H_i$, where each H_i is a copy of H . If $\sigma \in G$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in N$, define ${}^\sigma x = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$. The permutational wreath product $H \text{pwr} G$ is the semi-direct product $N \rtimes G$.

More precisely, for both products we have that if $x, y \in N$ and $\sigma, \tau \in G$, then $(x, \sigma) \cdot (y, \tau) = (x \cdot ({}^\sigma y), \sigma\tau)$. Thus we have $(\sigma x)(\tau y) = (\sigma\tau)({}^{\tau^{-1}} x \cdot y)$.

Note that the order of the (regular) wreath product is $|H|^{|G|}|G|$, whereas the order of the permutational wreath product is $|H|^n|G|$. Our first main result is the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let H and G be finite groups with G a transitive subgroup of the symmetric group S_n ; let K be any field, and let $H \text{pwr} G$ be the permutational wreath product. If $K(H)$ is rational over K , so is $K(H \text{pwr} G)$ over $K(G)$.*

It is well known that $K(S_n)$ is rational over any K for any $n > 1$. Therefore, $K(C_2 \text{pwr} S_n)$ is rational over K , where $C_2 \text{pwr} S_n$ is known as the *hyperoctahedral group*.

Theorem 1.3 can be generalized for non-transitive groups as well. We will prove a somewhat simplified version of this result in the following

Corollary 1.4. *Let H and G be finite groups with G a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n ; let K be any field, and let $H \text{pwr} G$ be the permutational wreath product. If $K(H)$ and $K(G)$ are rational over K , so is $K(H \text{pwr} G)$ over K .*

We will need the following well known result

Theorem 1.5 ([5], Theorem 1). *Let G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, the rational function field of m variables over a field L such that*

- (i) *for any $\sigma \in G, \sigma(L) \subset L$;*
- (ii) *the restriction of the action of G to L is faithful;*
- (iii) *for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_m) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma),$$

where $A(\sigma) \in \text{GL}_m(L)$ and $B(\sigma)$ is $m \times 1$ matrix over L . Then there exist $z_1, \dots, z_m \in L(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ so that $L(x_1, \dots, x_m)^G = L^G(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ and $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \leq i \leq m$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Write $\tilde{G} = \text{Hpwr}G$. Define a group isomorphism $\phi_i : H \rightarrow H_i$ such that $\phi_i(h) = (1, \dots, h, \dots, 1)$ where $H_i \cong \{(1, \dots, h, \dots, 1) | h \in H\}$.

Define a subgroup $M = \bigoplus_{i=2}^n H_i$ of N . Note that the coset decomposition of \tilde{G} with respect to M is given as $\tilde{G} = \cup_{\sigma \in G, h \in H} (\sigma \cdot \phi_1(h))M$.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot u(g)$ and $W = \bigoplus_{x \in N} K \cdot u(x)$ be the regular representation spaces of G and N respectively. Define an action of \tilde{G} on $V \otimes_K W$ by $(gx) \cdot (u(g') \otimes u(y)) = u(gg') \otimes u(g'^{-1}x \cdot y)$, where $g, g' \in G$ and $x, y \in N$. It follows that $V \otimes_K W$ is isomorphic to the regular representation space of \tilde{G} .

Now, let $W_i = \bigoplus_{h \in H} K \cdot u(\phi_i(h))$ be the regular representation space for H_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we can regard $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n W_i$ as the regular representation space of N , i.e., W . Similarly, we can regard $\bigotimes_{i=2}^n W_i$ as the regular representation space of M . Define

$$w_i = \sum_{h \in H} u(\phi_i(h)) \in W_i, w' = \bigotimes_{i=2}^n w_i \in \bigotimes_{i=2}^n W_i,$$

$$w_0 = u(1) \otimes w' \in W, u_0 = u(1) \otimes w_0 \in V \otimes_K W.$$

Note that $x \cdot u_0 = u_0$ for any $x \in M$.

For any $g \in G, h \in H$, define

$$u(g; h) = (g \cdot \phi_1(h)) \cdot u_0 = u(g) \otimes (u(\phi_1(h)) \otimes w') \in V \otimes_K W.$$

Note that for any $g, g' \in G$ and $h \in H$, we have $g \cdot u(g'; h) = u(gg'; h)$.

Now choose and fix arbitrary $i : 1 \leq i \leq n$. Since G is transitive, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(1) = i$. Denote by $\text{St}(s)$ the stabilizer of any $s : 1 \leq s \leq n$, i.e. the subgroup $\{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(s) = s\}$. Then for any $h, h' \in H$ and $g' \in g\text{St}(1)$, we have

$$\phi_i(h) \cdot u(g'; h') = g' \cdot (g'^{-1} \phi_i(h) \phi_1(h')) \cdot u_0 = u(g'; hh').$$

If $g' \notin g\text{St}(1)$, we have $\phi_i(h) \cdot u(g'; h') = u(g'; h')$.

For each $g \in G$, define

$$U_g = \bigoplus_{h \in H} K \cdot u(g; h) \subset V \bigotimes_K W, \quad \tilde{U} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U_g \subset V \bigotimes_K W.$$

Note that G permutes the spaces U_g regularly, H_i acts regularly on U_g if $g(1) = i$, and H_i acts trivially on U_g if $g(1) \neq i$. Taking into account that G is transitive, we obtain that \tilde{U} is a faithful \tilde{G} subspace of $V \bigotimes_K W$.

Next, apply Theorem 1.5. We find that $K(\tilde{G})$ is rational over $K(\tilde{U})^{\tilde{G}}$. It remains to show that $K(\tilde{U})^{\tilde{G}}$ is rational over $K(G)$, provided that $K(H)$ is rational over K .

Let $K(H)$ be rational over K . Then for any $g \in G$ such that $g(1) = i$ we have that $K(U_g)^{H_i}$ is rational over K . Choose a transcendence basis $\{v(g; j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq |H|\}$ for $K(U_g)^{H_i}$, i.e., we may write $K(U_g)^{H_i} = K(v(g; j) : 1 \leq j \leq |H|)$. Since G permutes regularly U_g , we can write $\sigma \cdot v(g; j) = v(\sigma g; j)$.

Now write the coset decomposition of G with respect to $\text{St}(1) : G = \cup_{i=1}^k g_i \text{St}(1)$, where $(G : \text{St}(1)) = k$. Thus

$$K(\tilde{U})^{\tilde{G}} = (K(\tilde{U})^N)^G = \left(\cup_{i=1}^k K(v(g; j) : 1 \leq j \leq |H|, g \in g_i \text{St}(1)) \right)^G.$$

Then from Theorem 1.5 follows that $K(\tilde{U})^{\tilde{G}}$ is rational over

$$\left(\cup_{i=1}^k K(v(g; 1) : g \in g_i \text{St}(1)) \right)^G = K(v(\sigma; 1) : \sigma \in G)^G = K(G).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Write again $\tilde{G} = H \text{pwr} G$. Let $O(i_1), \dots, O(i_m)$ be the orbits of G , i.e., $O(i_j) = \{\sigma(i_j) \mid \sigma \in G\}$ and $\{1, \dots, n\} = O(i_1) \cup \dots \cup O(i_m)$. We can assume that $|O(i_j)| > 1$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Define a subgroup $M_j = \bigoplus_{i \neq i_j} H_i$ of N for any $j = 1, \dots, m$. The coset decomposition of \tilde{G} with respect to M_j is given as $\tilde{G} = \cup_{\sigma \in G, h \in H} (\sigma \cdot \phi_{i_j}(h)) M_j$.

Define the spaces V, W and W_i in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. We can regard $\bigotimes_{i \neq i_j} W_i$ as the regular representation space of M_j . Define

$$w_i = \sum_{h \in H} u(\phi_i(h)) \in W_i,$$

$$\bar{w}_{j0} = w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \in W, u_{j0} = u(1) \otimes w_{j0} \in V \bigotimes_K W.$$

Note that $x \cdot u_{j0} = u_{j0}$ for any $x \in M_j$.

For any $g \in G, h \in H$, define

$$u_j(g; h) = (g \cdot \phi_{i_j}(h)) \cdot u_{j0} = u(g) \otimes (w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u(\phi_{i_j}(h)) \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n) \in V \bigotimes_K W.$$

Note that for any $g, g' \in G$ and $h \in H$, we have $g \cdot u_j(g'; h) = u_j(gg'; h)$.

Now choose and fix arbitrary $s : 1 \leq s \leq n$. Then there exists $g \in G$ and $j : 1 \leq j \leq m$, such that $g(i_j) = s$. Then for any $h, h' \in H$ and $g' \in g\text{St}(i_j)$, we have

$$\phi_s(h) \cdot u_j(g'; h') = g' \cdot (g'^{-1} \phi_s(h) \phi_{i_j}(h')) \cdot u_0 = u_j(g'; hh').$$

If $g' \notin g\text{St}(i_j)$, we have $\phi_s(h) \cdot u_j(g'; h') = u_j(g'; h')$.

For each $j : 1 \leq j \leq m$ and each $g \in G$, define the subspaces of $V \bigotimes_K W$

$$U_g^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} K \cdot u_j(g; h), \quad \tilde{U}^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U_g^{(j)}, \quad \tilde{U} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \tilde{U}^{(i)}.$$

Note that $\tilde{G}(\tilde{U}^{(j)}) \subset \tilde{U}^{(j)}$ and \tilde{G} acts faithfully on \tilde{U} . From Theorem 1.5 follows that $K(\tilde{G})$ is rational over $K(\tilde{U})^{\tilde{G}}$. We have

$$K(\tilde{U})^{\tilde{G}} = (K(\tilde{U})^N)^G = (K(\tilde{U}^{(1)})^N)^G \cdots (K(\tilde{U}^{(m)})^N)^G.$$

Note that $(K(\tilde{U}^{(j)})^N)^G$ is rational over $K(G)$, as we have shown in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Thus we obtain that $K(\tilde{U})^{\tilde{G}}$ is rational over the K -free compositum $K(G) \cdots K(G)$, which in turn is rational over K . We have done it.

REFERENCES

- [1] SWAN R. Noether's problem in Galois theory. In: Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr (edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
 [2] SALTMAN D. Adv. Math., **43**, 1982, 250–283.

- [³] GARIBALDI S., A. MERKURJEV, J.-P. SERRE. Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology, AMS Univ. Lecture Series vol. **28**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2003.
- [⁴] KANG M., B. PLANS. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **137**, 2009, 1867–1874.
- [⁵] HAJJA S., M. KANG. J. Algebra, **177**, 1995, 511–535.

*Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Episkop Konstantin Preslavski Shumen University
115, Universitetska Str.
9700 Shumen, Bulgaria
e-mail: ivo_michailov@yahoo.com*